

## Enhance Hamilton County Foundation Grant Scoring Rubric

Category	Low	Medium	High
<p><b>Completeness of application</b></p> <p><i>Total point available for this category is 6.</i></p>	<p>Applicant has not followed directions. Required attachments are missing.</p> <p><b>Award 0-2 points.</b></p>	<p>Most of the questions are answered completely. Answers are too brief to provide enough information or too lengthy to absorb. There is no/little supporting data. Most attachments are provided.</p> <p><b>Award 3-4 points.</b></p>	<p>All questions are answered completely. Answers are brief and concise. Answers are supported with credible data. All suggested and required attachments are included.</p> <p><b>Award 5-6 points.</b></p>
<p><b>Organization description</b></p> <p><i>Total points available for this category is 9.</i></p>	<p>Organization has no history in the county or a very poor reputation. Application cannot prove past successes.</p> <p><b>Award 0-3 points.</b></p>	<p>Organization is new to the county. Some minor accomplishments are given.</p> <p><b>Award 4-6 points.</b></p>	<p>The applicant organization has a long and well-established history in the county. Organization serves a charitable purpose. Accomplishments prove a well-run organization. Organization is stable yet clearly communicates a need.</p> <p><b>Award 7-9 points.</b></p>
<p><b>Project description and need for project.</b></p> <p><i>Total points available for this category is 21.</i></p>	<p>The project does not fit within the EHCF purpose. Little or no planning or evidence of a need. No goals or objectives. Who the project serves is not clearly described.</p> <p><b>Award 0-7 points.</b></p>	<p>The project somewhat fits within the EHCF purpose. Some planning has occurred. Some evidence to show project fulfills a need. Goals and objectives are given. Beneficiaries are listed, but may not be well defined.</p> <p><b>Award 8-14 points.</b></p>	<p>The project fits within the EHCF purpose. There is clear evidence of local planning (community or organizational planning). There is evidence of the need for the project. How funds will address needs is clearly defined. Goals and objectives are clearly spelled out. Target audience is clearly described.</p> <p><b>Award 15-21 points.</b></p>

<b>Category</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>High</b>
<p><b>Ability to carry out project</b></p> <p><i>Total points available for this category is 9.</i></p>	<p>Applicant cannot implement the project in 9 months. Organization's ability to implement at all is questioned. Evaluation methods are weak or not included.</p> <p><b>Award 0-3 points.</b></p>	<p>Applicant has ability to implement the project in 6-9 months. Organization can implement, but there may be questions on some aspects. Evaluation methods suffice, but do not inspire.</p> <p><b>Award 4-6 points.</b></p>	<p>Applicant has ability to implement the project in less than 6 months. Applicant demonstrates capacity to implement. Applicant describes how it will evaluate the impact quantitatively. Evaluation methods correlate to goals &amp; objectives.</p> <p><b>Award 7-9 points.</b></p>
<p><b>Benefit to residents of Hamilton County and/or community</b></p> <p><i>Total points available for this category is 28.</i></p>	<p>Project serves/impacts few residents of county or community. Volunteer component of the project is weak. EHCF is not recognized.</p> <p><b>Award 0-9 points.</b></p>	<p>Project serves half of the residents of county or community. Project impacts many residents. There is a volunteer component to the project. EHCF is recognized.</p> <p><b>Award 10-18 points.</b></p>	<p>Project serves over 50% of the residents of county or community. There is a strong volunteer component to the project. EHCF is recognized in a creative manner.</p> <p><b>Award 19-28 points.</b></p>
<p><b>Has applicant received EHCF funding before?</b></p> <p><i>Total points available for this category is 6.</i></p>	<p>Applicant has received funding from EHCF nearly every time granting cycle since 2005. This specific project has received funding before.</p> <p><b>Award 0-2 points.</b></p>	<p>Applicant has received funding from EHCF once or twice. The project may have received funding in part.</p> <p><b>Award 3-4 points.</b></p>	<p>Applicant has never received funding. Project has never received funding.</p> <p><b>Award 5-6 points.</b></p>
<p><b>Project Budget</b></p> <p><i>Total points available for this category is 21.</i></p>	<p>Funding request exceeds 35% of project costs. Local cash investment makes up less than 25% of project costs. There is virtually no collaboration among organizations.</p> <p><b>Award 0-7 points.</b></p>	<p>Funding request is 25-35% of project costs. Local cash investment makes up 50% of project costs. Some collaboration among organizations.</p> <p><b>Award 8-14 points.</b></p>	<p>Funding request is less than 25% of project costs. Local cash investment makes over 50% of project costs. Strong collaboration among multiple organizations.</p> <p><b>Award 15-21 points.</b></p>